GALLUP NEWS SERVICE

GALLUP POLL SOCIAL SERIES: ENVIRONMENT

-- FINAL TOPLINE --

Timberline: 937008 H: 129

Princeton Job #: 12-03-004

Jeff Jones, Lydia Saad March 8-11, 2012

Results are based on telephone interviews conducted March 8-11, 2012 with a random sample of -1,024—adults, aged 18+, living in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia.

For results based on the total sample of national adults, one can say with 95% confidence that the margin of error is ± 4 percentage points.

For results based on the sample of -521—national adults in Form A and -503—national adults in Form B, the maximum margins of sampling error are ± 5 percentage points.

For results based on the sample of -457—Republicans and Republican-leaning independents, the maximum margins of sampling error are ± 6 percentage points.

Interviews are conducted with respondents on landline telephones and cellular phones, with interviews conducted in Spanish for respondents who are primarily Spanish-speaking. Each sample includes a minimum quota of 400 cell phone respondents and 600 landline respondents, with additional minimum quotas among landline respondents by region. Landline numbers are chosen at random among listed telephone numbers, cell phone numbers are selected using random-digit dial methods. Landline respondents are chosen at random within each household on the basis of which member had the most recent birthday.

Samples are weighted by gender, age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, education, region, adults in the household, and phone status (cell phone only/landline only/both, having an unlisted landline number, and being cell phone mostly). Demographic weighting targets are based on the March 2011 Current Population Survey figures for the age 18+ non-institutionalized population living in U.S. telephone households. All reported margins of sampling error include the computed design effects for weighting and sample design.

In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion polls.

Next,

9. How would you rate the overall quality of the environment in this country today -- as excellent, good, only fair, or poor?

	Excellent	Good	Only fair	<u>Poor</u>	No opinion
2012 Mar 8-11	6	38	45	10	1
2011 Mar 3-6	7	38	43	11	*
2010 Mar 4-7	4	42	44	9	1
2009 Mar 5-8	5	34	45	16	*
2008 Mar 6-9	5	35	49	11	*
2007 Mar 11-14	5	35	48	11	1
2006 Mar 13-16	5	35	49	11	*
2005 Mar 7-10	4	37	48	10	1
2004 Mar 8-11	4	39	46	11	*
2003 Mar 3-5	5	36	48	10	1
2002 Mar 4-7	4	43	45	7	1
2001 Mar 5-7	5	41	47	6	1

10. Right now, do you think the quality of the environment in the country as a whole is getting better or getting worse?

	Getting better	Getting worse	Same (vol.)	No opinion
2012 Mar 8-11	42	49	7	2
2011 Mar 3-6	42	48	8	2
2010 Mar 4-7	41	48	8	3
2009 Mar 5-8	41	51	5	2
2008 Mar 6-9	26	68	5	1
2007 Mar 11-14	25	67	7	1
2006 Mar 13-16	25	67	6	2
2005 Mar 7-10	29	63	6	2
2004 Mar 8-11	34	58	6	2
2003 Mar 3-5	33	57	8	2
2002 Mar 4-7	40	54	4	2
2001 Mar 5-7	36	57	5	2

ENVIRONMENTAL OUTLOOK GROUPS (COMBINED RESPONSES: Q.9-10)

	<u>Positive</u>	<u>Mixed</u>	<u>Negative</u>	<u>Undesignated</u>
2012 Mar 8-11	29	30	38	3
2011 Mar 3-6	30	30	37	3
2010 Mar 4-7	30	31	36	3
2009 Mar 5-8	25	32	41	3
2008 Mar 6-9	22	25	51	1
2007 Mar 11-14	23	23	53	1
2006 Mar 13-16	21	24	52	2
2005 Mar 7-10	24	23	51	2
2004 Mar 8-11	26	26	46	2
2003 Mar 3-5	27	23	47	3
2002 Mar 4-7	29	31	38	2
2001 Mar 5-7	24	34	39	3