

GALLUP NEWS SERVICE

GALLUP POLL SOCIAL SERIES: HEALTH AND HEALTHCARE

-- FINAL TOPLINE --

Timberline: 937008
H: 435
Princeton Job #: 12-11-018

Jeff Jones, Lydia Saad
November 15-18, 2012

Results are based on telephone interviews conducted November 15-18, 2012 with a random sample of –1,015— adults, aged 18+, living in all 50 U.S. states and the District of Columbia.

For results based on the total sample of national adults, one can say with 95% confidence that the margin of error is ± 3 percentage points.

For results based on the sample of –515— men, the maximum margin of sampling error is ± 5 percentage points.

For results based on the sample of –500— women, the maximum margin of sampling error is ± 6 percentage points.

Interviews are conducted with respondents on landline telephones and cellular phones, with interviews conducted in Spanish for respondents who are primarily Spanish-speaking. Each sample includes a minimum quota of 400 cell phone respondents and 600 landline respondents per 1,000 respondents, with additional minimum quotas among landline respondents by region. Landline numbers are chosen at random among listed telephone numbers, cell phone numbers are selected using random-digit dial methods. Landline respondents are chosen at random within each household on the basis of which member had the most recent birthday.

Samples are weighted by gender, age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, education, region, adults in the household, population density and phone status (cell phone only/landline only/both, having an unlisted landline number, and being cell phone mostly). Demographic weighting targets are based on the March 2011 Current Population Survey figures for the age 18+ U.S. population. All reported margins of sampling error include the computed design effects for weighting.

In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion polls.

28. Next, we'd like to get your overall opinion of some people in the news. As I read each name, please say if you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of these people -- or if you have never heard of them. How about -- [ITEMS A-C READ IN ORDER]?

C. Retired General David Petraeus

	<u>Favorable</u>	<u>Unfavorable</u>	<u>Never heard of</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2012 Nov 15-18	40	30	17	14
2011 Mar 25-27	55	11	22	12
2010 Jul 8-11	56	9	24	10
2007 Sep 14-16 †	61	22	7	11
2007 Sep 7-8 †	52	17	14	17
2007 Aug 3-5 †	47	21	16	16

^ WORDING: General David Petraeus

† WORDING: Commander of U.S. forces in Iraq, General David Petraeus

29. How closely have you been following news about an extramarital affair involving retired general and former CIA director David Petraeus—very closely, somewhat closely, not too closely, or not at all?

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Somewhat closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2012 Nov 15-18	22	31	24	23	*

30. As you may know, David Petraeus resigned his position as director of the CIA after his extramarital affair was made public. Which comes closer to your view – [ROTATED: it was necessary for Petraeus to resign, (or) he should have remained director of the CIA]?

	<u>Necessary for him to resign</u>	<u>Should have remained</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2012 Nov 15-18	51	41	8