## GALLUP NEWS SERVICE

## HILLARY CLINTON

Results are based on telephone interviews with a random sample of $\mathbf{- 1 , 0 2 3 -}$ national adults, aged $18+$, living in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, conducted April 3-4, 2015. For results based on the total sample of National Adults, the margin of error is $\pm 4$ percentage points at the $\mathbf{9 5 \%}$ confidence level.

For results based on the sample of --462-- Democrats and Democratic-leaning independents, the margin of error is $\pm 5$ percentage points at the $95 \%$ confidence level.

Interviews are conducted with respondents on landline telephones and cellular phones, with interviews conducted in Spanish for respondents who are primarily Spanish-speaking. Each sample of national adults includes a minimum quota of $50 \%$ cell phone respondents and $\mathbf{5 0 \%}$ landline respondents, with additional minimum quotas by time zone within region. Landline and cell phones numbers are selected using random digit dial methods. Landline respondents are chosen at random within each household on the basis of which member had the most recent birthday.

Samples are weighted to correct for unequal selection probability, non-response, and double coverage of landline and cell users in the two sampling frames. They are also weighted to match the national demographics of gender, age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, education, region, population density, and phone status (cell phoneonly/landline only/both, cell phone mostly). Demographic weighting targets are based on the March 2014 Current Population Survey figures for the aged 18 and older U.S. population. Phone status targets are based on the January-June 2014 National Health Interview Survey. Population density targets are based on the 2010 census. All reported margins of sampling error include the computed design effects for weighting.

In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion polls.

1. Next, we'd like to get your overall opinion of some people in the news. As I read each name, please say if you have a favorable or unfavorable opinion of these people -- or if you have never heard of them. How about -- [ITEM A READ FIRST, THEN ITEMS B-Q READ IN RANDOM ORDER]?

## A. Hillary Clinton

|  | Favor- <br> able | Unfavorable | No opinion |  | Favorable | Unfavorable | No opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2015 Apr 3-4 | 48 | 42 | 10 | 2007 Apr 2-5 | 47 | 49 | 4 |
|  |  |  |  | 2007 Mar 23-25 | 48 | 48 | 3 |
| 2015 Mar 2-4 | 50 | 39 | 11 | 2007 Mar 2-4 | 54 | 42 | 3 |
| $\underline{2014}$ |  |  |  | 2007 Feb 9-11 | 58 | 40 | 1 |
| 2014 Jul 7-10 | 55 | 36 | 9 | $\underline{2006}$ |  |  |  |
| 2014 Jun 5-8 | 54 | 43 | 3 | 2006 Nov 9-12 | 53 | 42 | 5 |
| 2014 Feb 6-9 | 59 | 37 | 4 | 2006 Jul 28-30 | 50 | 44 | 7 |
| $\underline{2013}$ |  |  |  | 2006 Jun 23-25 | 51 | 44 | 5 |
| 2013 Jun 1-4 | 58 | 39 | 3 | 2005 |  |  |  |
| 2013 Apr 11-14 | 64 | 31 | 5 | 2005 Oct 21-23 | 54 | 41 | 5 |
| $\underline{2012}$ |  |  |  | 2005 Jul 25-28 | 53 | 43 | 4 |
| 2012 Nov 9-12 | 63 | 32 | 5 | 2005 May 20-22 | 55 | 39 | 6 |
| 2012 May 10-13 | 66 | 29 | 5 | $2005 \mathrm{Feb} 25-27$ | 53 | 41 | 6 |
| $\underline{2011}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  |  |  |
| 2011 Mar 25-27 | 66 | 31 | 3 | 2004 Jul 19-21^ | 56 | 38 | 6 |
| $\underline{2010}$ |  |  |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  |  |  |
| 2010 Jul 8-11 | 61 | 35 | 4 | 2003 Oct 24-26 | 51 | 44 | 5 |
| $\underline{2009}$ |  |  |  | 2003 Sep 19-21 | 54 | 40 | 6 |
| 2009 Oct 1-4 | 62 | 34 | 3 | 2003 Jun 27-29 ^ | 52 | 44 | 4 |
| 2009 Jan 9-11 | 65 | 33 | 3 | 2003 Jun 9-10 | 53 | 43 | 4 |
| $\underline{2008}$ |  |  |  | 2003 Mar 14-15 | 45 | 46 | 9 |
| 2008 Aug 21-23 | 54 | 43 | 3 | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  |  |
| 2008 May 30-Jun 1 | 54 | 43 | 3 | 2002 Dec 16-17 | 48 | 46 | 6 |
| 2008 May 1-3 | 52 | 45 | 3 | 2002 Sep 23-26 | 47 | 44 | 9 |
| 2008 Apr 18-20 | 53 | 44 | 4 | $\underline{2001}$ |  |  |  |
| 2008 Mar 14-16 | 53 | 44 | 3 | 2001 Aug 3-5 | 51 | 44 | 5 |
| 2008 Feb 21-24 | 48 | 48 | 4 | 2001 Mar 5-7 | 44 | 53 | 3 |
| 2008 Feb 8-10 | 48 | 49 | 4 | 2001 Feb 19-21 | 49 | 44 | 7 |
| 2008 Jan 30-Feb 2 | 48 | 48 | 4 | 2001 Feb 1-4 | 52 | 43 | 5 |
| 2008 Jan 10-13 | 50 | 46 | 4 | $\underline{2000}$ |  |  |  |
| $\underline{2007}$ |  |  |  | 2000 Nov 13-15 | 56 | 39 | 5 |
| 2007 Dec 14-16 | 51 | 48 | 2 | 2000 Oct 25-28 | 52 | 43 | 5 |
| 2007 Nov 30-Dec 2 | 47 | 50 | 3 | 2000 Aug 4-5 | 45 | 50 | 5 |
| 2007 Nov 2-4 | 52 | 45 | 3 | 2000 Feb 4-6 | 55 | 39 | 6 |
| 2007 Oct 12-14 | 53 | 44 | 2 | 1999 |  |  |  |
| 2007 Oct 4-7 | 51 | 44 | 5 | 1999 Dec 9-12 | 48 | 48 | 4 |
| 2007 Sep 14-16 | 49 | 49 | 2 | 1999 Sep 23-26 | 56 | 40 | 4 |
| 2007 Sep 7-8 | 49 | 46 | 5 | 1999 Aug 3-4 | 56 | 41 | 3 |
| 2007 Aug 13-16 | 47 | 48 | 5 | 1999 Jul 22-25 | 62 | 35 | 3 |
| 2007 Aug 3-5 | 47 | 49 | 3 | 1999 Jun 25-27 | 56 | 42 | 2 |
| 2007 Jul 12-15 | 47 | 48 | 5 | 1999 Mar 5-7 | 65 | 31 | 4 |
| 2007 Jul 6-8 | 48 | 48 | 5 | 1999 Feb 19-21 | 65 | 30 | 5 |
| 2007 Jun 1-3 | 46 | 50 | 3 | 1999 Feb 4-7 | 66 | 31 | 3 |
| 2007 May 10-13 | 53 | 45 | 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 May 4-6 | 50 | 47 | 3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2007 Apr 13-15 | 45 | 52 | 4 |  |  |  |  |

Hillary Clinton Favorable Trend continued on the next page

## Q. 1 (FAVORABLES) CONTINUED

## A. Hillary Clinton (continued)

|  | Favorable | Unfavorable | No opinion |  | Favorable | Unfavorable | No opinion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 |  |  |  | 1994 |  |  |  |
| 1998 Dec 28-29 | 67 | 29 | 4 | 1994 Nov 28-29 | 50 | 44 | 6 |
| 1998 Oct 9-12 ^ | 63 | 33 | 4 | 1994 Sep 6-7 | 48 | 47 | 5 |
| 1998 Sep 14-15 | 61 | 33 | 6 | 1994 Jul 15-17 | 48 | 46 | 6 |
| 1998 Aug 21-23 | 61 | 33 | 6 | 1994 Apr 22-24 | 56 | 40 | 4 |
| 1998 Aug 10-12 | 60 | 36 | 4 | 1994 Mar 25-27 | 52 | 42 | 6 |
| 1998 Aug 7-8 | 60 | 35 | 5 | 1994 Mar 7-8 | 55 | 40 | 5 |
| 1998 Feb 13-15 | 60 | 36 | 4 | 1994 Jan 15-17 | 57 | 36 | 7 |
| 1998 Jan 30-Feb 1 | 64 | 34 | 2 | 1993 |  |  |  |
| 1998 Jan 24-25 | 61 | 33 | 6 | 1993 Nov 2-4 | 58 | 34 | 8 |
| 1998 Jan 23-24 | 60 | 35 | 5 | 1993 Sep 24-26 | 62 | 27 | 11 |
| 1997 |  |  |  | 1993 Aug 8-10 | 57 | 33 | 10 |
| 1997 Dec 18-21 | 56 | 38 | 6 | 1993 Jul 19-21 | 56 | 34 | 10 |
| 1997 Oct 27-29 | 61 | 34 | 5 | 1993 Apr 22-24 | 61 | 27 | 12 |
| 1997 Jun 26-29 | 51 | 42 | 7 | 1993 Mar 22-24 | 61 | 31 | 8 |
| 1997 Feb 24-26 | 51 | 42 | 6 | 1993 Jan 18-19 | 59 | 26 | 16 |
| 1997 Jan 31-Feb 2 | 55 | 39 | 6 | 1992 |  |  |  |
| 1997 Jan 10-13 | 56 | 37 | 7 | 1992 Nov 19-20 | 57 | 21 | 21 |
| 1996 |  |  |  | 1992 Nov 10-14 | 49 | 30 | 21 |
| 1996 Oct 26-29 † | 50 | 42 | 8 | 1992 Aug 31-Sep 2 | 56 | 25 | 19 |
| 1996 Aug 28-29 † | 51 | 41 | 8 | 1992 Aug 19-20 † | 48 | 31 | 21 |
| 1996 Aug 16-18 $\dagger$ | 47 | 48 | 5 | 1992 Jul 17-18 $\dagger$ | 51 | 24 | 25 |
| 1996 Aug 5-7 † | 48 | 45 | 7 | 1992 Jul 6-8 † | 45 | 30 | 25 |
| 1996 Jun 18-19 | 46 | 47 | 6 | 1992 Apr 20-22 $\dagger$ | 38 | 40 | 23 |
| 1996 Mar 15-17 | 47 | 48 | 5 | 1992 Mar 20-22 $\dagger$ | 39 | 26 | 35 |
| 1996 Jan 12-15 | 43 | 51 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 Jul 7-9 | 50 | 44 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 Mar 17-19 | 49 | 44 | 7 |  |  |  |  |
| 1995 Jan 16-18 | 50 | 44 | 6 |  |  |  |  |
| $\wedge$ Asked of a half sample. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\dagger$ Based on registered voters. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

2. (Asked of Democrats and Democratic leaning independents) Who would you like to see the Democrats nominate for president in 2016 - Hillary Clinton or someone else?

## BASED ON -462- DEMOCRATS AND DEMOCRATIC LEANERS

Hillary Clinton Someone else No opinion

2015 Apr 3-4
57
38
3. (Asked of Democrats and Democratic leaning independents) Regardless of what you would like to see happen, just your best guess, do you think Hillary Clinton will or will not win the Democratic nomination for president in 2016?

## BASED ON -462- DEMOCRATS AND DEMOCRATIC LEANERS

$$
\text { Clinton will win Clinton will not win } \quad \text { No opinion }
$$

2015 Apr 3-4
66
32
2
4. (Asked of Democrats and Democratic leaning independents) In terms of selecting the Democratic nominee to run for president in the year 2016, which do you think would be better for the Democratic Party -[ROTATED: For there to be a number of strong candidates competing for the nomination over the next year, (or) For one strong candidate, such as Hillary Clinton, to emerge early on as the clear front runner for the nomination (or)]?

## BASED ON -462- DEMOCRATS AND DEMOCRATIC LEANERS

Better if a number of
strong candidates
are competing

Better for one strong
candidate to emerge
Neither/
as clear front-runner
No opinion
2015 Apr 3-4
54
40
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For comparison: Al Gore and the 2000 Democratic nomination: In terms of selecting the Democratic nominee to run for president in the year 2000, which do you think would be better for the Democratic Party -- [ROTATED: For there to be a number of strong candidates competing for the nomination over the next year, (or) For one strong candidate, such as Al Gore, to emerge early on as the clear front runner for the nomination (or)]?
Better if a number of
strong candidates

are competing $\quad$\begin{tabular}{r}
Better for one strong <br>
candidate to emerge <br>
as clear front-runner

$\quad$

Neither/ <br>
\end{tabular}

