

## GALLUP NEWS SERVICE

### FOREIGN POLICY AND 2016 ELECTION

Results are based on telephone interviews with a random sample of 1,016 national adults, aged 18+, living in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, conducted May 6-7, 2015. For results based on the total sample of National Adults, the margin of error is  $\pm 4$  percentage points at the 95% confidence level.

Interviews are conducted with respondents on landline telephones and cellular phones, with interviews conducted in Spanish for respondents who are primarily Spanish-speaking. Each sample of national adults includes a minimum quota of 50% cell phone respondents and 50% landline respondents, with additional minimum quotas by time zone within region. Landline and cell phone numbers are selected using random digit dial methods. Landline respondents are chosen at random within each household on the basis of which member had the most recent birthday.

Samples are weighted to correct for unequal selection probability, non-response, and double coverage of landline and cell users in the two sampling frames. They are also weighted to match the national demographics of gender, age, race, Hispanic ethnicity, education, region, population density, and phone status (cell phone-only/landline only/both, cell phone mostly). Demographic weighting targets are based on the March 2014 Current Population Survey figures for the aged 18 and older U.S. population. Phone status targets are based on the January-June 2014 National Health Interview Survey. Population density targets are based on the 2010 census. All reported margins of sampling error include the computed design effects for weighting.

In addition to sampling error, question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of public opinion polls.

2. Now, thinking about Hillary Clinton. If she were elected president in 2016, do you think Hillary Clinton would do a good job, a fair job, or a bad job handling each of the following issues? How about -- [RANDOM ORDER]?

<i>2015 May 6-7</i> <i>(sorted by "good job")</i>	Good job	Fair job	Bad job
	%	%	%
Race relations	44	33	22
Healthcare policy	43	25	30
The economy	42	29	28
Foreign affairs	42	26	29
Terrorism	39	29	30
Immigration	35	33	30
The way income and wealth are distributed in the U.S.	35	31	32
The way government operates in Washington	35	30	33

**A. The economy**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	42	29	28	1

**B. Immigration**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	35	33	30	3

**C. Terrorism**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	39	29	30	2

**D. Health care policy**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	43	25	30	2

**E. Race relations**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	44	33	22	2

**Q.2 (HILLARY CLINTON HANDLING OF ISSUES) CONTINUED**

**F. Foreign affairs**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	42	26	29	2

**G. The way income and wealth are distributed in the U.S.**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	35	31	32	3

**H. The way the government operates in Washington**

	<u>Good job</u>	<u>Fair job</u>	<u>Poor job</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	35	30	33	2

3. Thinking back on her time as U.S. secretary of state from 2009-2013, from what you have heard, read or remember, how would you rate the job Hillary Clinton did as secretary of state -- outstanding, above average, average, below average, or poor?

	<u>Out-standing</u>	<u>Above average</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Below average</u>	<u>Poor</u>	<u>No opinion</u>
2015 May 6-7	14	24	31	10	17	3